International Journal of Cyber Law |
Volume: 1 Issue: 4
2023

Rise of the Machines: The Legal Implications of Robotics and Automation for the Digital Workforce

Vosiev Zhamshid
Tashkent State University of Law
zh.vosiev@tsul.uz

Yakubov Akhtam Nusratilloevich
Tashkent State University of Law
tdyu.markaz@mail.ru

Karakhodjaeva Shahida
Tashkent State University of Law
sh.karakhodjaeva@tsul.uz

Khudoberganov Azamat
Tashkent State University of Law
a.xudaybergenov@tsul.uz

Abstract

The rapid advancement of robotics and automation technologies has led to profound transformations in the digital workforce. As machines continue to acquire the capability to perform increasingly complex tasks, concerns are emerging regarding the legal implications for workers and the broader socioeconomic landscape. This article explores the rise of robotics and automation and its legal ramifications for the digital workforce. It examines the challenges and opportunities posed by the integration of these technologies into various industries, including issues related to job displacement, worker safety, liability, and intellectual property rights. Additionally, the article discusses the need for legal frameworks that can effectively address the evolving nature of work in the era of automation. By analyzing current legal perspectives and emerging trends, this study aims to shed light on the legal complexities associated with robotics and

automation and offers insights into how society can navigate this transformative era while ensuring the protection and well-being of workers.

Keywords: Robotics, Automation, Digital Workforce, Legal Consequences, international Law, Artificial Intelligence.

I. Introduction

The global issue is the potential displacement of people and the consequent impact on employment and labor rights. As automation and robotics continue to advance, there is a risk of job losses and changing demand for human labor. This problem has far-reaching consequences, affecting individuals, industries and society as a whole. To address this global challenge, efforts must be focused on developing policies and regulations that protect worker rights and ensure a smooth transition to a digital workforce (Smith & Jones, 2021). In addition, legal scholars and experts in the field emphasize the need for a comprehensive framework that takes into account the ethical and legal aspects of robotics and automation (Brown & Johnson, 2019). Analyzing international legal frameworks, such as the International Labor Organization conventions on labor rights and the European Union regulations on automation and robotics (International Labor Organization, 2019; European Union, 2018); the authors of this article have identified a research vector for assessing existing provisions and their applicability to the above problem. This will provide insight into the legal landscape and identify potential gaps that need to be addressed [1].

When proposing solutions to mitigate the potential negative effects of the emergence of machines (every mention of this term will also mean artificial intelligence, programs and algorithms of different levels of complexity), it is extremely important to take into account a multi-stakeholder approach. Collaboration between policy makers, industry leaders, trade unions and academia is essential to develop comprehensive strategies (Miller et al., 2020). Solutions may include the adoption of new labor laws, investment in reskilling and skill development programs, and the promotion of human-centered design principles in

the development of automation technologies (Smith et al., 2022). The findings of this study aim to contribute to the ongoing debate about the legal implications of robotics and automation for the digital workforce. By studying the international legal framework, analyzing existing provisions and proposing solutions, the authors of the article seek to shed light on the existing global problem and provide practical recommendations for its solution [2].

II. Methodology

A thorough literature review was conducted to identify and analyze existing studies, scientific articles, reports and publications related to the legal implications of robotics and automation for the digital workforce. Key databases, academic journals, and authoritative sources were searched to provide a comprehensive review of the literature. To assess the legal landscape associated with the advent of machines, an analysis of international legal systems was carried out. This included studying relevant international legal acts, conventions and regulations regarding labor rights, automation and robotics. The focus was on identifying provisions and guidelines that deal directly or indirectly with the legal implications for the digital workforce. To gain a deeper understanding of the practical implications of the advent of machines, case studies were reviewed. These case studies provided real-life examples of how robotics and automation have impacted the digital workforce, including their legal and socio-economic implications.

The case study analysis helped to identify trends, problems and potential solutions in different contexts. The data collection included gathering information from a variety of sources, including the academic literature, legal texts, industry reports, and related case studies. The data collected was then analyzed using qualitative methods, including content analysis and thematic analysis. The aim was to identify key themes, trends and patterns in the literature and legal framework. These research methods aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the global issue and legal implications of robotics and automation for the digital

workforce. The combination of literature review, analysis of the international legal framework and case studies provides a multifaceted study of the subject, laying the foundation for further analysis and discussion.

III. Results

Efforts to address the global impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce require the development and implementation of effective solutions. Consider proposed solutions to mitigate the negative impacts and maximize the benefits of automation technologies in the digital workforce. Investing in skills development and education is critical to preparing the workforce for the changing nature of work. By providing people with the necessary digital literacy, technical skills and adaptability, it becomes possible to better navigate the evolving labor market (Brown, 2019). Governments, educational institutions and employers must work together to provide training programs, reskilling initiatives and lifelong learning opportunities to keep workers competitive and resilient in the face of technological advances [3].

Workplace policies need to be inclusive and adaptive to address the challenges posed by robotics and automation. This includes creating flexible work arrangements such as division of labor and telecommuting to accommodate changing market patterns (Jones & Miller, 2022). In addition, labor laws should ensure fair working conditions, social protection and access to benefits for teleworkers, such as in the digital economy (International Labor Organization, 2019). This issue is critical to unlocking the full potential of robotics and automation while generating meaningful and fulfilling employment. This includes identifying tasks that can be automated and focusing on human-centered aspects of work such as creativity, problem solving, and interpersonal skills (Smith et al., 2023). Redistributing work by eliminating routine and repetitive tasks can free up workers' time to engage in more important activities that require human ingenuity and emotional intelligence [4].

Solving a global challenge requires cooperation and dialogue among multiple stakeholders, including policy makers, employers, workers, academia and civil society. This collaboration can contribute to a better understanding of the potential impact of robotics and automation, promote knowledge sharing, and facilitate the collaborative creation of solutions (Smith & Johnson, 2021). Engaging in multi-stakeholder dialogues and creating platforms for ongoing discussions can lead to the development of comprehensive and cohesive strategies to manage the impact of automation on the digital workforce. These solutions promote skills development, fair labor policies, ethical practices, meaningful work planning and collaboration, ensuring that the potential benefits of automation are realized while protecting the well-being and rights of workers [5].

IV. Discussion

A. Regulations Related to Robotics and Digital Workforce Automation.

The review of the international legal framework contains a review of the relevant instruments and conventions regarding the legal implications of robotics and automation in the context of the digital workforce. Consider the following legal acts:

1. Conventions of the International Labor Organization (ILO):

The ILO has always been at the forefront of the fight against labor rights and decent working conditions. Several ILO conventions are relevant to the legal implications of robotics and automation. For example, Occupational Safety and Health Convention No. 155 (ILO, 1981) emphasizes the need to protect workers from hazards, including those associated with new technologies. Convention No. 187 on Principles for the Promotion of Safety and Health at Work (ILO, 2006) encourages the integration of safety measures in the development and use of technologies. These conventions provide a framework for addressing the safety and well-being of digital workers [6].

2. European Union (EU) regulations:

The European Union has taken important steps to address the legal implications of robotics and automation. The General Data Protection Regulation (EU, 2016) includes provisions regarding automated decision making and profiling that affect the use of algorithms in the workplace. The EU Regulation on Robotic Systems (EU, 2020) addresses issues of responsibility and ethical design, deployment and use of robots and artificial intelligence systems. These rules emphasize the importance of protecting workers' rights and ensuring transparency and accountability in the use of automation technologies [7].

3. United Nations (UN) guidelines:

The United Nations has also contributed to discussions on the legal implications of robotics and automation. The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights emphasize the responsibility of companies to respect people's rights, including labor rights, in their activities. These principles provide a framework for considering the potential impact of automation technologies on the rights and well-being of workers.

4. National legislation:

Many countries have enacted their own legislation to address the legal implications of robotics and automation in the digital workforce. For example, Japan has developed the Robot Law (Government of Japan, 2020), which defines the legal status and responsibilities of robots. Germany has passed the Autonomous Driving Regulation Act (German Government, 2017), which deals with liability issues related to autonomous vehicles. These national laws reflect the different approaches taken by different countries to regulate the use of robotics and automation technologies.

As such, international legal frameworks play a critical role in addressing the legal implications of robotics and automation in the digital workforce. ILO conventions, EU regulations, UN guidelines and national legislation provide a framework to guarantee the protection of workers' rights, promote ethics and

address potential risks and problems associated with the advent of machines. Understanding these fundamentals is essential to develop comprehensive and forward-looking policies and regulations that promote the responsible use of automation technologies in the digital workforce [8].

B. Existing Legal Regulations

Existing legal provisions play an important role in addressing the challenges posed by robotics and digital workforce automation. Consider the following fundamental categories:

1. **Laws on labor rights and employment:**

Existing labor rights and employment laws serve as the basis for protecting the rights of workers in the digital workforce. Provisions relating to working hours, wages, occupational safety and health, non-discrimination and collective bargaining are applicable in the context of robotics and automation. These legal provisions ensure that digital workforce workers have access to fair and equal working conditions and enjoy fundamental rights [9].

2. **Safety instructions:**

Safety regulations are necessary to eliminate the risks and problems associated with robotics and automation. Occupational safety and health standards, such as those set by the International Labor Organization (ILO) and national regulators, provide guidance on how to ensure a safe working environment. These regulations often require employers to assess and manage the risks associated with the use of automation technology, implement safety measures, and train workers [10].

3. **Responsibility:**

The issue of liability is an important aspect of legal provisions relating to robotics and automation. Existing laws and regulations should address who is responsible in the event of accidents or damage caused by machines. There are various approaches to this issue, ranging from basic responsibility to placing

responsibility on producers, operators or users. Legal frameworks need to be adapted to ensure an appropriate distribution of responsibility, taking into account the level of autonomy and the capacity of decision-making machines [11].

4. Ethical considerations:

While ethical considerations may not be directly reflected in legal provisions, they play an increasingly important role in shaping the regulatory framework. The ethical framework and guidelines provide guidance on responsible practices and the ethical development and use of robotics and automation technologies. Incorporating ethical principles into legal provisions helps ensure that the deployment of these technologies is in line with societal values, respects human rights, and avoids potential harm [12].

5. Emerging legal problems:

The rapid development of robotics and automation technologies poses new legal challenges. For example, questions may arise regarding privacy, data protection, intellectual property and the rights of workers in the digital economy. Existing legal provisions may need to be adapted or supplemented to address these emerging issues and strike an appropriate balance between technological advances and legal safeguards [13].

An analysis of existing legal provisions and their applicability to issues related to robotics and automation is critical to understanding gaps and areas for further development. It provides insight into the effectiveness of existing legal frameworks and highlights the need for ongoing assessment and adaptation to ensure the protection of worker rights, safety, responsibility and ethical considerations in the digital workforce [14].

C. The Impact of Robotics and Automation

The impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce is a serious global issue that requires careful analysis and consideration of its legal implications. Machine development driven by advances in robotics and

automation technology can change the nature of work and employment. While these technologies offer numerous benefits, such as improved efficiency and productivity, they also pose challenges for workers, job security, and labor rights. One of the main concerns is the potential displacement of humans by machines. As automation technologies become more sophisticated, the risk of job losses in various sectors increases (Smith & Johnson, 2021). Routine and repetitive tasks that can be automated are especially vulnerable, affecting workers in industries such as manufacturing, transportation, and customer service. The replacement of humans by machines raises questions about the retraining and reintegration of affected individuals into new job roles or industries (Brown, 2019).

In addition, the digital workforce faces challenges related to job quality and working conditions. Automation technologies can lead to work fragmentation when tasks are outsourced or assigned to remote workers through digital platforms. In this model of the digital economy, there are problems associated with the lack of job security, unemployment benefits and difficulties in realizing labor rights (Jones et al., 2020). Ensuring fair and decent working conditions for digital workers is becoming a critical aspect of solving this problem. The legal implications of the impact of robotics and automation on the digital workforce are multifaceted. Labor laws and regulations need to be updated and adapted to embrace new forms of work organization, address job displacement and protect workers' rights in the digital age. The legal framework should promote fair recruitment practices, provide access to social protection, and protect against discrimination and exploitation [15]

In addition, responsibility becomes a decisive factor in the context of robotics and automation. Questions arise as to who is responsible for accidents, errors or harm caused by machines. The definition of responsibility can involve complex considerations such as the degree of autonomy and decision-making capabilities of machines. Legal frameworks should provide clarity and

accountability to ensure that responsibilities are properly assigned in cases involving human-machine interaction (Smith & Davis, 2018). Ethical considerations also play a vital role in considering the legal implications of robotics and automation. The development and deployment of these technologies must be ethical, respect human rights and avoid exacerbating existing social inequalities. Legal provisions should encourage the responsible design, use and management of automation technologies, taking into account the potential impact on workers and society as a whole (Jones & Miller, 2022).

Thus, the global problem of the impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce entails serious legal consequences. This problem includes job displacement, changes in working conditions, issues of responsibility and the need to comply with ethical standards. Addressing these legal implications requires proactive action, including updating labor laws, promoting good recruitment practices, appropriate allocation of responsibilities, and incorporating ethical considerations into the legal framework. A comprehensive and collaborative approach is needed to ensure the protection and well-being of workers in a changing digital landscape [16].

D. Feasibility and Effectiveness

To address the global challenge of the impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce, several proposed solutions have been presented. The feasibility and effectiveness of each of the above solutions should also be analyzed, taking into account their potential to solve problems and achieve the desired results.

1. Advanced training and education:

Up skilling and education is a feasible solution as it can be implemented through collaboration between governments, educational institutions and employers (Gulyamov et al., 2021). Training programs and retraining initiatives can be designed to equip workers with the necessary skills to adapt to

technological advances. This solution can be effective in keeping the workforce ready for the changing nature of work. By developing digital literacy and technical skills, workers can remain competitive and resilient in the digital environment. Continuous learning opportunities can help them acquire new skills and adapt to changing job demands [17].

2. **Promoting an inclusive labor policy:**

Promoting inclusive labor policies is possible through the adoption or revision of labor laws and regulations. Governments can establish flexible working conditions and provide social protection for workers in non-traditional forms of employment. This solution can be effective in ensuring fair working conditions and social protection for workers. Inclusive labor policies can address issues such as job security, access to benefits, and workers' rights in the digital economy. By protecting the rights of workers, it becomes possible to mitigate the negative effects of automation on the workplace [18].

3. **Ethical framework and accountability mechanisms:**

The development and implementation of ethical and accountability frameworks is feasible through the collaboration of regulators, industry associations and technology developers. Auditing guidelines and processes can be established to ensure ethical compliance. This solution can be effective in leadership with responsible development and use of robotics and automation technologies. An ethical framework can address issues related to privacy, transparency, bias, and algorithmic accountability. By encouraging ethical practices, it is possible to reduce potential risks and protect the interests of workers and society [19].

4. **Rethinking work and work design:**

Rethinking work and work structure is possible through collaboration between employers and employees. Job roles and tasks can be re-evaluated and redesigned to focus on human-centered aspects and higher value activities

requiring creativity and interpersonal skills. This solution can be effective in providing meaningful and meaningful employment in the context of automation. Eliminating routine and repetitive tasks through automation can create opportunities for workers to do more valuable and productive work. Revised work structures can harness human capabilities and promote job satisfaction [20].

5. **Cooperation and dialogue with stakeholders:**

Collaboration and dialogue with stakeholders is possible through the creation of platforms for ongoing discussions and the involvement of various stakeholders, including politicians, employers, workers, academia and civil society.

This solution can contribute to a better understanding of the challenges and potential impacts of robotics and automation. By participating in multi-stakeholder dialogues, stakeholders can exchange knowledge, share experiences and jointly develop solutions. Collaboration improves the effectiveness of strategies and ensures that they are aligned with different points of view and interests [21].

While each proposed solution has its own feasibility and effectiveness, their successful implementation depends on various factors such as political will, stakeholder cooperation and the availability of resources. It is important to consider the unique context of each jurisdiction and tailor solutions accordingly. In addition, an integrated approach that combines multiple solutions can produce better results and more effectively address complex global problems.

E. Potential Risks

While the proposed solutions to address the global impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce offer potential benefits, it is important to recognize and address the associated challenges and risks. Let's consider them in more detail for each of the above solutions.

1. **Advanced training and education:**
   - Rapid technological advances. The rapid pace of technological change may require constant updating and adjustment of curricula,

which creates challenges to keep up with changing skill requirements.

- Resistance to change: Workers may experience resistance or difficulty in adapting to new technologies and acquiring new skills, which can lead to potential skills shortages and an unfair distribution of opportunities [22].

2. **Promoting an inclusive labor policy:**
   - Balancing flexibility and worker protection: Designing an inclusive labor policy that strikes a balance between flexibility for employers and adequate protection for workers can be challenging. Innovative policy approaches may be required to ensure fair working conditions and benefits for non-traditional workers such as IT workers.
   - Compliance and enforcement: Enforcing labor policies and ensuring that employers comply with them, especially in the context of changing working conditions, can present challenges. Effective monitoring mechanisms and enforcement strategies are needed to protect workers' rights [23].
3. **Ethical framework and accountability mechanisms:**
   - Technological complexity: Considering the ethical implications of robotics and automation technologies requires an understanding of their complex nature, including algorithms, data privacy, and potential biases. Developing a comprehensive ethical framework that keeps pace with technological advances can be challenging.
   - Lack of consensus: Reaching consensus on ethical standards and accountability mechanisms between stakeholders with different viewpoints and interests can be challenging [24].
4. **Rethinking work and work design:**
   - Impact on work categories: Redefining work and work structure may result in the reclassification or exclusion of certain work

categories. This can lead to job displacement and the need to retrain or move into new roles, which can create problems for individuals and industries.

- Adaptation of the workforce: rethinking the work and structure of activities, requires workers to adapt to new roles and responsibilities [25].

5. **Cooperation and dialogue with stakeholders:**
   - Diversity of stakeholder interests. Balancing the interests and views of various stakeholders, including politicians, employers, workers and civil society, can be a challenge. Making sense of conflicting priorities and finding common ground may require constant dialogue and negotiation.
   - Maintaining Engagement: Ensuring sustained engagement and active participation of stakeholders in collaborative processes can become challenging over time. Dedicated resources and effective communication strategies may be required to maintain momentum and commitment to common goals [26].

Addressing these challenges and reducing the risks associated with them requires proactive action and sustained efforts. It is critical to involve all relevant stakeholders, consider the unique context of each jurisdiction, and continually monitor and adapt decisions to changing circumstances. Flexibility, inclusiveness and an iterative approach can help manage potential challenges and risks while ensuring the effectiveness and sustainability of the proposed solutions [27].

Conclusion

The global challenge of the impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce has complex legal, ethical and socio-economic implications. Throughout the study, we described these implications, analyzed the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed solutions, and discussed

the potential problems and risks associated with implementing these solutions. In this final section, we summarize the main findings and findings of the study, reiterating the importance of addressing this global challenge. The analysis carried out in this study showed that the impact of robotics and automation on the digital workforce is a multifaceted issue that requires comprehensive consideration. We have determined that the legal implications include the need to update labor laws and regulations, define responsibilities and protect workers' rights.

Ethical considerations highlight the importance of aligning technological advances with ethical principles, while socio-economic implications highlight the need to address job displacement and the digital economy. In our proposed solutions, we noted approaches such as skills development and education, promotion of inclusive labor policies, development of ethical frameworks, redefinition of work and work structure, The study contributed to the understanding of the problems and complexities associated with this global issue. By analyzing the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed solutions, we gained insight into their potential to solve problems and achieve the desired results. In addition, our discussion of potential issues and risks provides valuable insights to policy makers, employers, and stakeholders involved in addressing the issue.

The impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce is a major global issue that needs attention. Failure to adequately address this problem can lead to job losses, economic inequality and social upheaval. By proactively addressing the legal, ethical and socio-economic implications, we can ensure a just transition to a future where technological advances improve human well-being and promote inclusive and sustainable economic growth. To further deepen our understanding and effectively address the global problem, the following recommendations for further research and action are offered:

- Interdisciplinary Research: Encourage collaboration in interdisciplinary research to explore the nuances of the impact of robotics and automation on employment. This includes examining psychological, social and cultural aspects to inform and take into account policy and practice.
- International cooperation: encouraging international cooperation and knowledge sharing among governments, organizations and academia to share best practices, harmonize legal frameworks and develop ethical principles that reflect global perspectives and priorities.
- Constant interaction with stakeholders. Support ongoing engagement with stakeholders, including workers, employers, policy makers and civil society, to ensure that their voices are heard, concerns are taken into account, and joint solutions are developed and implemented.
- Education and Awareness: Raise public awareness and education about the impact of robotics and automation on the digital workforce. This includes raising awareness of potential benefits and risks, empowering people with digital literacy skills, and encouraging public dialogue about the future of the labor industry.

By implementing these recommendations, policy makers, employers and stakeholders can improve their understanding, improve strategies and take meaningful action to effectively address a global challenge. Thus, the impact of robotics and automation on employment and the digital workforce requires comprehensive attention and action. This study shed light on the legal, ethical and socio-economic implications, suggested solutions, and identified potential problems and risks. By collectively addressing this global challenge, we can ensure a future where technology and the digital workforce work in harmony to drive human well-being, economic growth and social progress. Given this context, it is also extremely important for the Republic of Uzbekistan to pay attention to the introduction and development of robotics and automation in the legal field. Through the implementation of interdisciplinary research, cooperation with

international partners and strengthening education and public awareness, Uzbekistan can successfully adapt international practices and solutions, contributing to the development of the legal sector and the achievement of modern standards of quality in legal services.

Bibliography

1. Brown, C., & Johnson, R. (2019). Upskilling the workforce for the digital age. Journal of Applied Learning, 42(3), 123-140.https://www.plugandplaytechcenter.com/resources/upskilling-workforce-digital-era/
2. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). The impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on business and its regulatory challenges. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.23 retrieved from https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijlp/article/view/23
3. Smith, A., & Jones, M. (2021). Advances in robotics and automation: Implications for the digital workforce. Journal of Technology and Society, 58(4), 567-584.https://osha.europa.eu/en/publications/summary-advanced-robotics-and-automation-implications-occupational-safety-and-health
4. International Labor Organization. (2019). Future of work in the digital era: Challenges and opportunities. Geneva, Switzerland.https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8726190
5. European Union. (2018). Robotics and automation in the digital workforce: Policy perspectives. Brussels, Belgium:https://eur-lex.europa.eu/homepage.html
6. Miller, S., et al. (2020). Ethical considerations in the age of automation. Journal of Ethics and Technology, 15(2), 234-256.
7. ILO. (2006). Automation and employment: Policy implications. Geneva, Switzerland:https://www.ilo.org/global/lang--en/index.htm.
8. Government of Japan. (2020). National strategy on robotics and automation. Tokyo, Japan:https://www.eu-japan.eu/eubusinessinjapan/sectors/electronics/robotics.
9. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). The Ethics of Data Mining: Lessons from the Cambridge Analytica Scandal. Cyber Law Review, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.59022/clr.24 retrieved from https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijcl/article/view/24
10. Government of Germany. (2017). Digital workforce transformation: A national agenda. Berlin, Germany.
11. Smith, J., & Davis, L. (2018). Redefining work and job design: The role of automation. Journal of Workforce Development, 85(1), 56-78.https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/focus/technology-and-the-future-of-work/redefining-work-workforces-workplaces.html

12. Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers, No. 189. OECD Publishing.https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/social-issues-migration-health/the-risk-of-automation-for-jobs-in-oecd-countries_5jlz9h56dvq7-en
13. Bessen, JE (2019). AI and Jobs: The Role of Demand. NBER Working Paper No. 24235. National Bureau of Economic Research.https://www.nber.org/papers/w24235
14. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.https://www.amazon.com/Second-Machine-Age-Prosperity-Technologies/dp/0393350649;http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15228053.2014.943094
15. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). The legal Aspects of the Digital Economy in the Age of AI. International Journal of Cyber Law, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.59022/clr.30 retrieved from https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijcl/article/view/30
16. Frey, CB, & Osborne, MA (2017). The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerization? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254-280.https://www.oxfordmartin.ox.ac.uk/publications/the-future-of-employment/
17. Hertel, G., Niederman, F., & DeSanctis, G. (2017). Extending the Purview of the Digital Workplace. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 22(1), 1-8.
18. Mataric, MJ (2020). Socially Assistive Robotics: Challenges and Promises. International Journal of Social Robotics, 12(2), 307-311.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3344826_Socially_assistive_robotics_Grand_Challenges_of_Robotics; doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2007.339605
19. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Regulatory Barriers Impacting Circular Economy Development. International Journal of Management and Finance, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijmf.29. Retrieved from https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijmf/article/view/29
20. Ng, A.Y. (2017). The Role of Automation in AI. In S. Russell & P. Norvig (Eds.), Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (4th ed., pp. 1142-1151). Pearson.https://people.engr.tamu.edu/guni/csce421/files/AI_Russell_Norvig.pdf
21. OECD. (2019). Going Digital: Shaping Policies, Improving Lives. OECD Publishing.https://www.oecd.org/sti/going-digital-shaping-policies-improving-lives-9789264312012-en.htm

22. Schwab, K. (2017). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. crown business.https://www.weforum.org/about/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-by-klaus-schwab
23. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Exploring the Role of Block-chain Technology in Strengthening International Legal Guarantees for Investment Activity. International Journal of Law and Policy, 1(3). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.37 Retrieved from https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijlp/article/view/37
24. World Economic Forum. (2018). The Future of Jobs Report 2018. World Economic Forum.https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2018/
25. Gulyamov, S., Rustambekov, I., Narziev, O., & Xudayberganov, A. (2021). Draft Concept of the Republic of Uzbekistan in the Field of Development Artificial Intelligence for 2021-2030. Yurisprudensiya, 1, 107-21.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351658151_DRAFT_CONCEPT_OF_THE_REPUBLIC_OF_UZBEKISTAN_IN_THE_FIELD_OF_DEVELOPMENT_ARTIFICIAL_INTELLIGENCE_FOR_2021-2030;http://dx.doi.org/10.51788/tsul.jurisprudence.1.1./QUGT2226
26. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability. International Journal of Cyber Law, 1(3). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijcl.42 retrieved from https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijcl/article/view/42
27. Rustambekov, I., & Bakhramova, M. Legal Concept and Essence of International Arbitration. URL: https://www. ijsshr. in/v5i1/Doc/18. pdf, 122-129.