

**Anti-Corruption and Compliance Control:
Identifying and Evaluating Corruption Risks and preventing them in State
Governance**

Ahmadjonov Murodullo
Tashkent State University of Law
murodulloahmadjonov123@gmail.com Abstract

Abstract

In the interconnected world, corruption is the pervasive threat that has been resulting in dire consequences in terms of boosting the economy, development, public infrastructure, and transparency, just to name a few. This article provides first-hand information about many states that have been suffering from the catastrophic effects of corruption-based practices that are being committed by people who hold special entrusted power in a particular position. This means that more violations came about due to the people who abuse entrusted power in state governance across the globe. Furthermore, the given article gives insights into the hands-on practice and pragmatic approaches of developed countries where there is a low corruption-based crime rate. Additionally, this article emphasizes the importance of raising legal literacy among individuals and offers the practical approaches of the aforementioned countries to prevent, identify, and evaluate corruption risks in state governance.

Keywords: Corruption Risks, Corruption-based Offenses, State Governance, Law and Regulations, Regulatory Bodies, Whistleblowers, Legal Literacy, Risk Assessment

I. Introduction

The concept of corruption is not current modern understanding, but it dates back to the period of ancient Shummer state, meaning that corruption has existed for many centuries in human-beings' history, and in turn, it has been ingrained in some societies, and has been acting as a deterrent in the development of society and intensifying a sense of democracy between people who have undergone drastic implications of corruption in particular regions of the world. There is no distinct definition that was pointed out in the both domestic and international normative documents to describe what corruption is on the whole, but, generally, corruption refers to a person who abuses of entrusted power for private ill-gains.¹

¹ Brinkerhoff, D. (2000), "Assessing political will for anti-corruption efforts: An analytic framework",
Public Administration and Development, Vol.

The definition of corruption, as well as corruption risks, can considerably differ from one state agency to another. Thus, rather than predefining those perceptions, one of the methodological focus of this article sheds light on how to assess and identify the types and risks of corruption, there is an urgent need to introduce distinct approaches in the real world to focus on individuals' behaviors, structural loopholes, and favorable conditions for corruption-based offenses to occur in State governance. Furthermore, this article will emphasize the considerable influence of multidisciplinary preventive approaches and practices of developed countries where there are low corruption rate and more effective laws and the people who are conscious of their rights and obligations that were stipulated in their legislation to reduce corruption in both legislative and executive bodies' in particular state governance.² In addition, this paper work will give more attention to enhancing the capacity of risk assessment, making use of modern analytical tools to take control over business-based relations, and strengthening rights, security, and promoting approaches of Whistleblowers.

II. Methodology

This article makes use of qualitative research methods, especially grounded Theory analysis to identify and evaluate corruption risks in State governance all over the world. The methodology of this article accounts for an in-depth examination of current legal literature associated with the identification and evaluation of corruption risks, the components of the approaches of corruption risks, and the rigid strategies for bolstering pragmatic approaches to foster transparency in State governance worldwide. Throughout an all-round review and analysis of relevant legal literature, this study aims at developing a grounded understanding of corruption risks, their significance, and the methods that can be used to modify legislation within the legal field. This approach allows for a thorough exploration of the subject matter, providing invaluable insights and contributing to a deeper comprehension of assessing and identifying corruption risks in state governance.³

III. Results

In the realm of identifying and evaluating corruption risks and preventing them in State Governance, this study sheds light on its importance, underscoring the nuance

20/3pp.239-252.[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2304666Assessing Political Will for Anti-Corruption Efforts An Analytic Framework](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2304666Assessing_Political_Will_for_Anti-Corruption_Efforts_An_Analytic_Framework).

² Corruption risk assessment and management approaches in the public sector 2015.pdf <https://knowledgehub.transparency.org/assets/uploads/helpdesk.n> Bharadwaj. Study Package for CLAT (Page vii).

³Tools for assessing corruption and integrity in state instituitons//a handbook <https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf/docs/Pnadf529>.

aspects of corruption-based practices and risks in state governance, as well as giving valuable insights into risk assessment, evaluating corruption risks and establishing whistleblower mechanisms that are predominantly essential in preventing corruption effectively. In particular, this article emphasizes the significance of effective controls after enacting laws and introducing regulations in the framework of contemporary legislation and normative documents. It underscores how to comprehend the repercussions of corruption-related practices and the key features of methodology, as well as four crucial elements of identifying and evaluating corruption risks and preventive approaches to them in advance.⁴

Furthermore, this article underlines the importance of legal literacy, that is a fundamental pillar of eradicating corruption in a nation, points out how to raise legal consciousness, promote transparency in both the public and private sectors, and offers awareness campaigns, constituting education, conduct code and promoting those who keep informed relevant state organizations about the corruption risk and cases that are being carried out by appointed or voted state officials in particular public or private institutions. Through bringing awareness to people about their rights, duties, and available remedies, legal literacy makes a considerable contribution to a well-informed and proactive society in terms of building corruption-free government and fostering integrity and transparency in state governance.⁵

IV. Discussion

Corruption has become an incurable and invasive disease in many societies because of loopholes, vulnerable laws, and ineffective regulations in legislation, which is because it causes a significant threat and puts a strain directly and indirectly on the integrity and stability of organizations, state governance, and societies across the world. Whether in the public or private sector, the influence of corruption can be devastating, resulting in financial losses, reputational damage, and erosion of public trust as a whole? To effectively fight against corruption, it's crucially important for entities to identify, assess, and prevent corruption risks via proactive approaches and strong governance frameworks.⁶

To identify and evaluate corruption risks, it absolutely important to understand what corruption risks are? Corruption risks can be seen in multitude forms, including bribery,

⁴Enhancing Government effectiveness and transparency, the fight against corruption.<https://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/235541600116631094>.

⁵ State of integrity//a guide on conducting corruption risks assessments in public organizations.[https://www.unodc.org/documents/corruption/Publications/2020/State of Integrity EN](https://www.unodc.org/documents/corruption/Publications/2020/State%20of%20Integrity%20EN).

⁶ Rakha, A. Naeem, "Analysis of the Primary Components Contributing to the Growth of the Digital Economy" SSRN Electronic Journal, 2022.

nepotism, embezzlement, and conflicts of interest. Those risks always grow in environments characterized by vulnerable internal and external controls, a lack of transparency, and inadequate accountability. Identifying prospective corruption risks entails a robust assessment of organizational processes, interactions with both internal and external parties, and the prevailing regulatory landscape as well.⁷ There is no doubt that world organizations (UN, OECD, INTERPOL, and OSCE) have been putting a lot of effort into preventative measures and approaches of corruption on a large scale in cooperation with member states for a number of years.

In a sense, particular countries, including South Korea, Slovenia, and Bosnia, have been achieving significantly remarkable results in lessening the corruption rate by modifying their legislation systems and implementing the effective practices of advanced countries, like Singapore, Denmark, Norway, and so forth in the last five years. It is apparent that every year, Transparency International updates the level of corruption in 180 countries around the world in Corruption Perceptions Index. Running a corruption risk assessment is quite different from identifying corruption itself on the whole. There are more corruption-free countries, two of which are Singapore and New Zealand that have been carrying out the most effective top-down approaches and the latest amendments to their legislation. Depending on approaches adopted, assessing and identifying corruption risks in State governance make up three phases: accounting for the diagnostic phase, the risk management phase, and the risk assessment phase.⁸

In state governance, it is absolutely crucial to conduct an overarching risk assessment to identify weaknesses and possible areas of exposure to corruption, and doing so includes analyzing the organization's structure, operations, business-based relationships, and geographic locations as well. Additionally, employing modern data analytical tools that consist of analyzing transactions, employers' and employees' conduct during their work days also plays a paramount role to identify corruption and prevent it beforehand, and it also allows for the rapid analysis of vast tracts of data to identify potential instances of corruption-related crimes in areas such as tax records and financial allocations, asset disclosures, and public procurement as well.⁹

Further and even more importantly, introducing “Whistleblower Mechanisms” is the main preventive catalyst in the realm of eradicating corruption-related offenses on the

⁷ Transparency International//[https://knowledgehub.transparency.org/assets/uploads/helpdesk/Corruption risk assessment and management approaches in the public sector 2015](https://knowledgehub.transparency.org/assets/uploads/helpdesk/Corruption_risk_assessment_and_management_approaches_in_the_public_sector_2015).

⁸ Transparency International [https://www.unodc.org/roseap/en/whatwedo/anti-corruption/topics/2021/data analytics anticorruption fraud](https://www.unodc.org/roseap/en/whatwedo/anti-corruption/topics/2021/data_analytics_anticorruption_fraud).

⁹TransparencyInternational.[https://knowledgehub.transparency.org/assets/uploads/helpdesk/Physical protection for people who report corruption revised](https://knowledgehub.transparency.org/assets/uploads/helpdesk/Physical_protection_for_people_who_report_corruption_revised).

whole, because confidential reporting mechanisms to encourage workers and stakeholders to inform about suspected instances of corruption without fear of retaliation enable us to create the regulatory atmosphere where people detest both corrupt practices and individuals. It is also crucial to note that providing people who come forward to report corruption-based crimes for plethora of reasons, ranging from fraudulent actions committed by their employers to petty corruption constituting low-ranking officials as well as organized corruption-related offences or grand corruption, is extremely important to ensure whistleblowers' safety, their rights, and the securing of their premises as a whole.

To provide those aforementioned regulations to prevent and reduce corruption in State governance, the government of a country should introduce regimented mechanisms that account for whistleblower protection, witness protection, and protection for human rights defenders. Moreover, it is also crucially vital to impose protective measures which include anonymity and confidentiality, advocacy, digital security, securing premises, relocation, and changing the identities of whistleblowers or witnesses.¹⁰ Contemporary political management in State governance can be more challenging for both ordinary people who comply with passed laws and regulations and special individuals who hold entrusted power to rule the government. Preventing corruption requires multi-faceted approaches that address the root causes of corruption and strengthen the internal control system, which is because legal literacy has been advocated as an access to justice issue and is required to ensure a healthy and corruption-free democracy in a state.

Crucially, being able to encourage people to hone their legal literacy to be aware of their rights and obligations must be one of the most productive approaches to eradicating and lessening corruption in both the public and private sectors in state governance as it is significantly more important to prevent disease than cure it. Lastly, passing effective laws and introducing foreign countries' practices in terms of combating corruption and controlling their effectiveness enable us to identify and evaluate corruption risks in state governance, and spot gaps or weaknesses in control frameworks, and prioritize remedial actions to bolster the effectiveness of the above pragmatic approaches and laws on the whole.¹¹

Conclusion

This article explored the topic of identifying and evaluating corruption risks and preventing them in state governance. It is apparent that fighting against corruption and taking more decisive approaches to identify and prevent corruption-based offenses are

¹⁰ Best Practices in Combating Corruption <https://www.osce.org/files/document/9/a/13738>.

¹¹ World Bank <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/235541600116631094/pdf/enhancing-government-effectiveness-and-transparency-the-fight-against-corruption.01.09.2020>.

massively overwhelming for both individuals and state officials in the fast-paced and interconnected world, as it requires colossal and joint effort. Running proactive risk management, carrying out effective controls in both the public and private sectors, strengthening the legal literacy of individuals, passing laws to protect Whistleblowers' security, their rights and providing them with monetary support, and introducing overseas countries' effective practices into national legislation will definitely contribute to transparency, integrity of government, and improve the economy, equality, the effectiveness of laws, democracy, and people's trust in state governance. To conclude, by working on those approaches, organizations can reduce their vulnerability to corruption, protect their reputation in front of the public, and foster individuals' trust in the law as a whole.

References

- 1 AllahRakha, N. (2024). Rethinking Digital Borders to Address Jurisdiction and Governance in the Global Digital Economy. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.124>
- 2 Brinkerhoff, D. (2000), "Assessing political will for anti-corruption efforts: An analytic framework", *Public Administration and Development*, Vol. 20/3pp.239-252.[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2304666Assessing Political Will for Anti-Corruption Efforts an Analytic Framework](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2304666Assessing_Political_Will_for_Anti-Corruption_Efforts_an_Analytic_Framework).
- 3 AllahRakha, N. (2024). Legal Procedure for Investigation under the Criminal Code of Uzbekistan. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.160>
- 4 Marie Terracol, (2020). Corruption risk assessment and management approaches in the public sector.pdf.
- 5 AllahRakha, N. (2024). Legal Procedure for Investigation under the Criminal Code of Uzbekistan. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.160>
- 6 Ahmadjonov, M. (2023). Anti-Corruption and Compliance Control: Legal Literacy among Lawyers and Law Students. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(8). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.145>
- 7 The IRIS Center, (2005). Tools for assessing corruption and integrity in state institutions//a handbook <https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf/docs/Pnadf529.pdf>
- 8 Bajpai,Rajni Myers,C. Bernard, (2020). Enhancing Government effectiveness and transparency, the fight against corruption.
- 9 Ubaydullaeva, A. (2024). Rights to Digital Databases. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.151>.
- 10 Rakha, A. Naeem, (2022). "Analysis of the Primary Components Contributing to the Growth of the Digital Economy" *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
- 11 Marie Terracol, (2015). Transparency International//assessment and management approaches in the public sector 2015.pdf

- 12 Kartener Ring, (2022). Best Practices in Combating Corruption <https://www.osce.org/files/document/9/a/13738.pdf>.
- 13 Sharopov, R. (2023). Behavioral Law and Antitrust Legislation in the Agro-Industrial Complex: Interconnection, Challenges, and Solutions. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(6). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.98>
- 14 Abduvalieva Mumtozkhan Asilbekovna. (2023). Comparative Analysis of International Standards for the Protection of Persons with Disabilities and National Legal Norms. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(6). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.96>
- 15 Gulyamov, S., & Raimberdiyev, S. (2023). Personal Data Protection as a Tool to Fight Cyber Corruption. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(7). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.119>
- 16 AllahRakha, N. (2023). Legal Challenges for International Fintech Startups. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(8). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.148>
- 17 Ahmadjonov, M. (2023). Anti-Corruption and Compliance Control: Legal Literacy among Lawyers and Law Students. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(8). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.145>
- 18 Abdikhakimov, I. (2023). Jurisdiction over Transnational Quantum Networks. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(8). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.147>
- 19 AllahRakha, N. (2024). Rethinking Digital Borders to Address Jurisdiction and Governance in the Global Digital Economy. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.124>
- 20 Saidakhror, G. (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Higher Education and the Economics of Information Technology. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(3), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.125>
- 21 Odilov, J. (2024). Digital Use of Artificial Intelligence in Public Administration. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(3), 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.161>
- 22 AllahRakha, N. (2024). Legal Procedure for Investigation under the Criminal Code of Uzbekistan. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.160>
- 23 Mamanazarov, S. (2024). Intellectual Property Theories as Applied to Big Data. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(7). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.164>