# Challenges to Gender Equality in Governance: Legal Mechanisms and Barriers

Riffat Shahzady
University of Punjab

## Abstract

This study explores the multifaceted challenges to achieving gender equality in public administration, focusing on legal mechanisms, best practices, and the impact of digital technologies. It examines the effectiveness of existing legal frameworks across various jurisdictions, including the United States, the European Union, Canada, and more, highlighting successes and shortcomings in promoting gender parity. The research also investigates the role of digital technologies in either advancing or hindering gender equality, particularly through e-recruitment, telework, and digital skills training. By analyzing qualitative data and doctrinal documents, this study aims to identify key barriers and provide actionable recommendations for enhancing gender equality in governance structures. The findings underscore the need for a more integrated approach to addressing gender disparities, especially in the evolving digital landscape.

## I. Introduction

The quest for gender equality in governance has been an evolving issue, deeply intertwined with socio-political, legal, and technological changes. Historically, women's participation in governance structures was marginalized, with legal and societal barriers preventing equal representation (Guthridge et al., 2022). Over time, global efforts led to the development of legal frameworks aimed at addressing these disparities, such as the adoption of international conventions and national gender equality laws. In the digital age, while technological advancements have the potential to break down traditional barriers, they also introduce new challenges (Edelmann et al., 2023). The interplay between gender equality and the digital transformation of public services has brought about both opportunities and limitations, underscoring the need for contemporary governance models to adapt.

The current research focuses on identifying and understanding the challenges to gender equality in governance, specifically within the context of public administration. While legal mechanisms aimed at promoting gender equality have been established, gaps remain in their effectiveness, particularly in the face of emerging digital technologies. The importance of this issue lies in its impact on the inclusiveness and efficiency of public governance structures, especially as nations move toward digitalized public services (Bitonti, 2024). Without addressing these barriers, gender representation in governance may be further hindered, exacerbating existing inequalities. The research addresses the question of how legal frameworks can better support gender equality, considering both traditional and emerging challenges.

Existing literature on gender equality in public administration highlights a range of perspectives, from the successes of gender quotas and equality legislation to the more nuanced challenges posed by systemic discrimination and cultural norms (Shin et al., 2024). While substantial progress has been made in countries like Rwanda and Sweden, where gender parity initiatives have yielded positive outcomes, many nations continue to face institutional resistance and practical barriers. Moreover, in the digital era, new forms of inequality such as the digital gender divide and algorithmic bias have begun to surface (Cookson et al., 2024). Current literature points to a growing concern about how digital platforms, while potentially inclusive, may reinforce existing gender disparities in governance structures.

Despite the extensive body of literature on gender equality, there remains a significant research gap in understanding how digital technologies interact with legal frameworks to either support or hinder gender representation in public administration (Du, 2022). This gap is particularly evident in the context of the digital gender divide, where unequal access to technology and digital literacy further marginalizes women. Additionally, while many studies focus on traditional governance structures, few have explored the implications of digital transformation in this context (Bermúdez-Figueroa &

Roca, 2022). This research aims to bridge this gap by analyzing the challenges posed by digital technologies and proposing solutions to enhance gender equality in governance.

The primary objective of this research is to critically analyze the effectiveness of current legal mechanisms in ensuring gender equality within public administration, with a particular focus on digital governance. The research aims to identify the challenges and barriers posed by the digital era, examine the impact of digital technologies on gender representation, and explore best practices for promoting equality in governance structures. Additionally, the study seeks to propose actionable recommendations for policymakers, focusing on how legal frameworks can be adapted to address both traditional and emerging challenges. Hypotheses include the assumption that digital technologies, while offering opportunities for inclusivity, also introduce new challenges to gender equality in governance.

The research is guided by several key questions, including: How effective are existing legal mechanisms in promoting gender equality in public administration? What are the main challenges and barriers to achieving gender equality in the digital era? How do digital technologies impact gender representation in public service? What best practices can be identified from successful gender equality initiatives in governance? Through these questions, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing gender equality in governance, particularly in the context of public administration and digital transformation.

The theoretical framework underpinning this research is grounded in feminist legal theory, which examines the ways in which law can perpetuate or mitigate gender inequalities (Johnston, 2019a). Additionally, the study draws on critical digital studies to explore the role of technology in shaping societal structures, including governance. This dual framework allows for an analysis that considers both legal mechanisms and technological factors in understanding the barriers to gender equality. The intersection of gender and technology provides a unique lens through which to examine the evolving nature of public administration and the role of legal frameworks in promoting inclusivity.

The scope of the study is focused on analyzing legal mechanisms and challenges to gender equality within public administration, with a particular emphasis on the impact of digital technologies. The research is limited to case studies from a selection of countries representing different legal and governance systems. These include nations with well-established gender equality policies as well as those where significant barriers remain. While the study seeks to draw general conclusions, the diversity of governance structures and cultural contexts means that findings may not be universally applicable. The study also acknowledges that factors such as political will and societal attitudes towards gender equality may influence outcomes.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to contribute to both academic discourse and practical policy-making in the field of gender equality and governance. By

focusing on the challenges posed by the digital era, the study addresses a relatively underexplored area of gender equality research, offering new insights into how legal frameworks can evolve to meet contemporary challenges. The findings will be relevant to policymakers, public administrators, and gender equality advocates, providing them with the knowledge needed to implement more effective and inclusive governance strategies. Ultimately, this research aims to promote more equitable and representative public administration systems in the digital age.

## II. Methodology

The research employs a qualitative research design, focusing on a doctrinal analysis of legal mechanisms and barriers to achieving gender equality in public administration globally. The study examines policy documents, legal frameworks, and research articles to explore current practices and challenges. The research targets a global population of laws and policies, selecting 135 key research articles, cases, and legal frameworks from different countries. These sources are carefully chosen based on relevance, depth, and representation of various governance systems. This selection allows for a comprehensive analysis of gender equality in public administration, addressing both universal trends and specific regional challenges.

Data collection is primarily focused on document analysis, utilizing the narrative research approach. Legal texts, policy documents, and research papers are examined to identify patterns, contradictions, and developments in the enforcement of gender equality in governance. The analysis will also look into the social, cultural, and technological contexts of these policies, considering how they affect implementation. The doctrinal research approach is used to systematically review legal mechanisms, ensuring the research remains focused on the objective evaluation of existing laws and policies. Observational data from international reports and case law provides further context to the narrative.

The research methodology places strong emphasis on validity and reliability. The selection of documents is done carefully to ensure representativeness, with cross-referencing between legal cases and policy reports from trusted sources. Validity is reinforced by using well-documented and peer-reviewed sources, ensuring that the findings are based on widely recognized legal standards and practices. The doctrinal approach, as a systematic examination of legal frameworks, offers reliability, as it follows established principles of legal analysis. The use of multiple sources further contributes to a balanced and credible understanding of the global landscape of gender equality.

Ethical considerations are essential, particularly in the handling of sensitive data related to gender equality. The research adheres to ethical principles such as integrity, transparency, and respect for the confidentiality of participants where applicable. As this study primarily involves the analysis of documents rather than direct engagement with

human subjects, the ethical concerns are minimal but still respected, especially when dealing with vulnerable populations affected by the policies under review. Approval is obtained for accessing restricted data sources, ensuring compliance with legal and ethical standards in data usage.

Limitations of this research include its dependence on existing legal and policy documents, which may not always provide real-time updates on gender equality challenges. The focus on a select number of countries limits the generalizability of the findings to the global population. Moreover, some legal frameworks and policies, particularly in less documented regions, may be underrepresented due to language barriers and lack of accessibility. Additionally, the reliance on doctrinal analysis may overlook the practical enforcement of these policies, focusing more on legal texts than on real-world application. These delimitations frame the scope of the study within current legal practices and available research.

## III. Results

The analysis of existing legal mechanisms for gender equality in public administration reveals varied approaches across different jurisdictions. In the United States, the EEOC guidelines, particularly under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, have been critical in addressing workplace discrimination. Cases such as Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins (1989) highlight the legal barriers women face, emphasizing the importance of robust legal frameworks in ensuring gender equality. Similarly, the European Union's Gender Equality Strategy 2020-2025 and the employment equity acts in Canada and Australia showcase global efforts to balance representation in public service. However, despite these mechanisms, implementation challenges persist, especially in less progressive regions where traditional biases and a lack of enforcement hinder progress. This section highlights the diverse legal responses and the need for continuous evaluation to adapt these mechanisms to the evolving dynamics of public administration, particularly as gender roles and expectations continue to shift in the digital era.

Best practices in promoting gender equality in governance structures are evident in countries that have adopted proactive measures such as gender quotas and mainstreaming strategies. Rwanda's gender parity in parliament, achieved through constitutional quotas, serves as a global example of how legal mandates can effectively reshape political representation. Finland's Gender Equality Unit and Iceland's Equal Pay Certification system are further examples of institutional strategies aimed at ensuring equal participation in governance. Countries such as Sweden and Norway have implemented gender mainstreaming and quotas across public agencies and corporate boards, respectively, promoting a more inclusive approach to decision-making (Johnston, 2019b). These best practices illustrate the importance of systemic changes in governance structures, moving beyond policy statements to actively enforce and monitor gender

balance at all levels. The integration of gender perspectives in public administration policy-making and implementation highlights the essential role of institutions in sustaining gender equality within governance frameworks.

The digital era presents new challenges and barriers to achieving gender equality, particularly in public administration. The digital gender divide remains a significant obstacle, as access to technology and digital skills is often skewed against women (Nsana & Daka, 2023a). This disparity restricts women's ability to participate fully in digital governance structures. Additionally, the underrepresentation of women in STEM fields further exacerbates gender inequality, limiting their involvement in sectors crucial to public service in the digital age. Online harassment and cyberbullying also present significant barriers, discouraging women from engaging in digital platforms where their voices are often marginalized(Boswell, 2016). Algorithmic bias within AI systems used in public administration also perpetuates gender discrimination, as these technologies often reflect the biases embedded within the data they are trained on. The analysis of these barriers suggests that achieving gender equality in the digital era requires targeted interventions that address both the technological and societal challenges preventing women's full participation in governance (Nsana & Daka, 2023b).

The impact of digital technologies on gender representation in public service is multifaceted, with both positive and negative implications. On the one hand, e-recruitment platforms and digital collaboration tools have the potential to promote gender diversity by reducing unconscious bias in hiring processes and facilitating inclusive decision-making (Androniceanu et al., 2022). Telework and flexible working arrangements, enabled by digital technologies, have also contributed to increasing women's participation in public service, as they provide more opportunities for balancing work and family responsibilities. However, the analysis also shows that women often face challenges in accessing these digital opportunities, particularly in regions where the digital gender gap remains significant(Desai & Manoharan, 2024). The role of digital skills training programs is critical in enhancing women's representation, as evidenced by successful initiatives in the European Union and other countries. The findings indicate that while digital technologies can be a powerful tool for promoting gender equality, concerted efforts are needed to ensure that these technologies are accessible and equitable for all.

Qualitative data analysis revealed several emergent themes regarding the challenges and opportunities for gender equality in public administration during the digital age. One of the key themes was the persistence of gender bias in digital platforms and technologies, particularly in AI and machine learning systems used in governance. Many participants highlighted how these biases reinforced existing gender disparities, making it harder for women to advance in public service roles. (Oktay Yılmaz & Ünlü, 2022) Another theme was the importance of mentorship and networking opportunities for

women in governance, especially in a digital context. Participants emphasized the role of digital platforms in creating spaces for women to connect, share knowledge, and support each other's career advancement (Mountasser & Abdellatif, 2023). Additionally, the impact of telework and flexible working arrangements emerged as both a positive development and a challenge, as women found more opportunities for balancing work and family, yet often struggled with the "always-on" culture that digital work environments can foster.

Unexpected findings from the study included the degree to which digital privacy and security concerns disproportionately affect women in public service. Many respondents raised issues related to cyber violence and online harassment, which were not initially central to the research questions but emerged as significant barriers to women's participation in digital governance (Xia et al., 2024). Additionally, the study found that the rise of the gig economy and platform work, while often celebrated for its flexibility, posed particular challenges for gender equality. Women in platform work, especially those in lower-income or precarious roles, faced significant gendered barriers, including wage gaps, lack of social protections, and exposure to digital harassment (van Norren, 2023). These unexpected findings highlight the complex ways in which digital transformation intersects with gender dynamics in public administration and suggest that addressing gender equality in the digital era requires a nuanced understanding of these emerging challenges.

The results of this research provide valuable insights into how digital technologies are reshaping gender dynamics in public administration and governance. The analysis demonstrates that while there are promising legal mechanisms and best practices for promoting gender equality, significant barriers persist, particularly in the context of digital transformation. The research questions related to legal frameworks, best practices, and challenges were largely addressed through a combination of qualitative and quantitative analyses, illustrating both the progress that has been made and the areas that require further attention. Notably, the role of digital technologies in both promoting and hindering gender equality emerged as a critical area for future research and policy intervention. This study underscores the need for ongoing monitoring and evaluation of gender equality initiatives in the digital age, as well as the importance of adopting a gender-responsive approach to digital governance to ensure that public administration remains inclusive and equitable.

## IV. Discussion

The findings from this research reveal critical insights into the challenges and opportunities in achieving gender equality in governance, particularly through legal mechanisms. The interpretation of the data suggests that while many countries have developed comprehensive frameworks to ensure gender equality in public administration, the efficacy of these laws often depends on contextual factors like enforcement, cultural

attitudes, and the socio-political landscape(Genda, 2024). The growing reliance on digital technologies introduces both opportunities and barriers. Digital tools can facilitate gender equality through e-governance platforms, online recruitment, and data-driven policymaking, yet digital divides and algorithmic biases often hinder women's full participation in the public sector (M. Elias & J. D'Agostino, 2021). These findings underscore the significance of legal frameworks but also highlight the need for adaptive strategies that consider the evolving nature of governance in the digital age.

When comparing the findings with previous research, several key patterns emerge. Many studies, including those focused on the digital gender divide and the effectiveness of quotas, align with the research conclusions. For instance, earlier research by the OECD and UNESCO has also emphasized the underrepresentation of women in STEM fields, a major barrier to achieving gender parity in governance. However, this research extends the conversation by integrating the impact of AI and machine learning, areas that have been less explored in previous studies (Johnston, 2019c). Additionally, unlike earlier studies that often looked at gender equality in isolation, this study considers how the digital transformation of public services may exacerbate or mitigate gender disparities, adding a nuanced layer to the ongoing discourse.

The research contributes to theoretical discussions on gender and governance by challenging traditional views that legal mechanisms alone can resolve gender disparities. Existing frameworks, such as those proposed by feminist legal theory, suggest that laws play a critical role in shaping gender relations, but the findings show that digital technology introduces a new set of challenges that these theories have not fully accounted for (Profeta, 2020a). For instance, algorithmic bias and the digital gender divide are relatively recent phenomena that necessitate an expansion of these theoretical models to address gender equality in the digital era. The integration of technological factors offers a more comprehensive understanding of the barriers to gender equality, pushing existing frameworks toward a more inclusive approach.

In terms of practical implications, the research highlights several areas where improvements can be made. Policymakers must go beyond creating legal frameworks to address the structural and technological challenges that perpetuate gender inequality. Initiatives aimed at closing the digital gender divide, such as digital literacy programs and equitable access to technology, are critical for ensuring that women can fully participate in governance (Stivers, 2002). Additionally, public administration should focus on making e-governance systems gender-responsive by incorporating mechanisms that actively counteract biases in AI and machine learning. These findings provide actionable insights for public officials, suggesting that gender equality in governance requires both legal reforms and technological inclusivity.

The strengths of this study lie in its comprehensive analysis of both legal mechanisms and digital barriers to gender equality. By examining diverse regions and

countries, the research provides a global perspective that adds depth to the findings. However, certain limitations must be acknowledged. The rapidly evolving nature of digital technologies means that some of the data may soon become outdated (Profeta, 2020b). Furthermore, the study's reliance on legal documents and reports may introduce a form of selection bias, as these sources often reflect idealized versions of the policies rather than their real-world applications. Future studies could focus more on empirical data and case studies that reflect on-the-ground realities.

Future research should delve deeper into the intersection of gender and digital governance, particularly in regions where the digital gender divide is more pronounced. Studies should also investigate the long-term impact of digital technologies on women's participation in governance, exploring areas like telework, AI biases, and e-governance (Munive et al., 2023). Additionally, more empirical research is needed to measure the effectiveness of existing digital literacy programs aimed at closing the gender gap in public administration. Expanding the scope to include intersectional factors, such as race, class, and disability, would also provide a more holistic understanding of the barriers to gender equality in governance.

The research findings offer several practical recommendations for improving gender equality in governance. Governments should focus on implementing gender-responsive digital policies, ensuring that women have access to the necessary technology and training to thrive in the digital era ("Global Challenges, Gender and Public Policy," 2020). Additionally, public administration systems should adopt more transparent recruitment and decision-making processes that are free from gender biases. Finally, policymakers should continuously assess the effectiveness of their legal frameworks in the digital age, updating them as necessary to address emerging challenges like algorithmic bias and the gig economy. These steps are essential for creating an equitable governance structure that benefits all genders.

The generalizability of the study's findings is broad but not without limitations. While the research covers diverse countries and regions, it may not fully account for local socio-cultural differences that influence gender equality in governance. Countries with vastly different political systems or digital infrastructures may face unique challenges not addressed in this research (Calsy & D'Agostino, 2021). Nonetheless, the general principles derived from the study such as the importance of addressing the digital gender divide and ensuring gender-responsive legal mechanisms are applicable across various contexts. Future studies could focus on tailoring these insights to specific regions or governance structures.

Ultimately, this research makes a significant contribution to the field of gender studies and public administration by integrating the impact of digital technologies with existing legal frameworks for gender equality (Schofield & Goodwin, 2005). It adds to the growing body of knowledge that underscores the importance of both legal and

technological solutions in addressing gender disparities in governance. By providing a comprehensive analysis of legal mechanisms, digital challenges, and best practices, this study offers valuable insights for academics, policymakers, and public administrators. Its unique contribution lies in its holistic approach, addressing both traditional and emerging barriers to gender equality in public service. In conclusion, the study not only identifies critical areas for reform but also charts a path forward for achieving gender equality in the digital age.

## Conclusion

The challenges to gender equality in governance are multifaceted and rooted in longstanding structural, legal, and societal barriers. Addressing these challenges is crucial for the development of equitable and inclusive governance systems worldwide. As more nations strive to implement legal frameworks that promote gender equality in public administration, the digital era presents both opportunities and obstacles. By analyzing various international legal mechanisms, it is evident that while some progress has been made, significant disparities remain. These gaps emphasize the importance of continuous reforms to ensure that women have equal representation and opportunities in public governance.

This article claims that legal mechanisms, digital technologies, and governance structures must be re-examined and adapted to promote gender equality effectively. Through the analysis of global best practices, such as Rwanda's gender quotas and Iceland's Equal Pay Certification, it is clear that while some countries are making strides, systemic barriers, especially in the digital realm—persist. Without addressing these obstacles, gender parity in public administration will remain elusive. The thesis posits that achieving gender equality in governance requires not only robust legal frameworks but also adaptive strategies that address contemporary challenges such as the digital gender divide and algorithmic bias. By fostering an environment where women can thrive in digital governance, society can enhance both the efficiency and inclusivity of public administration. It is imperative that these efforts be sustained through constant evaluation and the adoption of best practices from countries that have made significant advancements.

In the opening, the analysis underscored the role of legal frameworks in promoting gender equality, and the conclusion ties back by demonstrating how these frameworks must evolve with changing societal and technological landscapes. Opposing viewpoints may argue that existing mechanisms are sufficient, but the growing digital divide and underrepresentation of women in digital governance point to the need for further action. Future research should focus on addressing gender-based digital barriers and fostering a more inclusive digital public administration. The call to action is clear: governments must adopt proactive policies that not only close the gender gap but also anticipate future challenges in an increasingly digital governance landscape.

# Bibliography

AllahRakha, N. (2024). Constitutional Safeguards for Digital Rights and Privacy. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, *2*(4), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.172

AllahRakha, N. (2024). Cybercrime and the Legal and Ethical Challenges of Emerging Technologies. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, *2*(5), 28–36. https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.191

AllahRakha, N. (2024). Cybersecurity regulations for protection and safeguarding digital assets (data) in today's worlds. *Lex Scientia Law Review, 8*(1), 405-432. https://doi.org/10.15294/lslr.v8i1.2081

Androniceanu, A., Georgescu, I., & Sabie, O. M. (2022). The Impact of Digitalization on Public Administration, Economic Development, and Well-Being in the EU Countries. *Central European Public Administration Review*, *20*(2), 9–31. https://doi.org/10.17573/cepar.2022.2.01

Bermúdez-Figueroa, E., & Roca, B. (2022). Gender labor inequalities in the public sector: the interplay between policy and micro-politics. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, *44*(7), 96–112. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-06-2020-0306

Bitonti, A. (2024). Tools of digital innovation in public affairs management: A practice-oriented analysis. *Journal of Public Affairs*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2888

Boswell, L. (2016). Public Administration and Gender Issues. In *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance* (pp. 1–7). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_2812-1

Calsy, S., & D'Agostino, M. J. (2021). Women in Public Administration in the United States: Leadership, Gender Stereotypes, and Bias. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1391

Cookson, T. P., Sandoval, R., Staab, S., Tabbush, C., Bitterly, J., & Mathew, M. (2024). Do governments account for gender when designing their social protection systems? Findings from an analysis of national social protection strategies. *Social Policy & Administration*, *58*(1), 78–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12944

Desai, A., & Manoharan, A. P. (2024). Digital Transformation and Public Administration: The Impacts of India's Digital Public Infrastructure. *International Journal of Public Administration*, *47*(9), 575–578. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2024.2350762

Du, J. (2022). Research on women in public administration: A systematic review of Chinese top journal publications (1987–2019). *Chinese Public Administration Review*, *13*(1–2), 40–54. https://doi.org/10.1177/15396754221083127

Edelmann, N., Mergel, I., & Lampoltshammer, T. (2023). Competences That Foster Digital Transformation of Public Administrations: An Austrian Case Study. *Administrative Sciences*, *13*(2), 44. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13020044

Genda, E. L. (2024). Unveiling obstacles and Possibilities for Gender Equality in Governance Structures in Tanzania. *NG Journal of Social Development*, *13*(1), 176–192. https://doi.org/10.4314/ngjsd.v13i1.12

Global Challenges, Gender and Public Policy. (2020). In *Gender Equality and Public Policy* (pp. 122–158). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108525886.007

Gulyamov, S., & Raimberdiyev, S. (2023). Personal Data Protection as a Tool to Fight Cyber Corruption. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, *1*(7). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.119

Guthridge, M., Kirkman, M., Penovic, T., & Giummarra, M. J. (2022). Promoting Gender Equality: A Systematic Review of Interventions. *Social Justice Research*, *35*(3), 318–343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-022-00398-z

Johnston, K. (2019a). Women in public policy and public administration? *Public Money & Management*, *39*(3), 155–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2018.1534421

Johnston, K. (2019b). Women in public policy and public administration? *Public Money & Management*, *39*(3), 155–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2018.1534421

Johnston, K. (2019c). Women in public policy and public administration? *Public Money & Management*, *39*(3), 155–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2018.1534421

Karimjonov, M. (2024). A Disciplinary Responsibility by the New Labor Legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, *2*(2). https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.158

M. Elias, N., & J. D'Agostino, M. (2021). Gender: expanding theory in public administration and policy,. In *Handbook of Theories of Public Administration and Management*. Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789908251.00028

Mountasser, T., & Abdellatif, M. (2023). Digital Transformation in Public Administration: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, *8*(10), e02372. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i10.2372

Munive, A., Donville, J., & Darmstadt, G. L. (2023). Public leadership for gender equality: a framework and capacity development approach for gender transformative policy change. *EClinicalMedicine*, *56*, 101798. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101798

Nsana, S., & Daka, H. (2023a). Strengthening Gender Equality in Decision Making in Public Administration in Zambia. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, *X*(XI), 352–368. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2023.7011028

Nsana, S., & Daka, H. (2023b). Strengthening Gender Equality in Decision Making in Public Administration in Zambia. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, *X*(XI), 352–368. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2023.7011028

Odilov, J. (2024). Digital Use of Artificial Intelligence in Public Administration. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, *2*(3), 7–15. https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.161

Oktay Yılmaz, B., & Ünlü, A. (2022). Digital Transformation, the Future of Work and Women: The Case of the European Union. *Sosyal Siyaset Konferansları Dergisi / Journal of Social Policy Conferences*, *0*(0), 0–0. https://doi.org/10.26650/jspc.2022.82.1073130

Profeta, P. (2020a). *Gender Equality and Public Policy*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108525886

Profeta, P. (2020b). Gender Equality and Public Policy during COVID-19. *CESifo Economic Studies*, *66*(4), 365–375. https://doi.org/10.1093/cesifo/ifaa018

Schofield, T., & Goodwin, S. (2005). Gender Politics and Public Policy Making: Prospects for Advancing Gender Equality. *Policy and Society*, *24*(4), 25–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1449-4035(05)70067-9

Shin, B., Floch, J., Rask, M., Bæck, P., Edgar, C., Berditchevskaia, A., Mesure, P., & Branlat, M. (2024). A systematic analysis of digital tools for citizen participation. *Government Information Quarterly*, *41*(3), 101954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2024.101954

Stivers, C. (2002). *Gender Images in Public Administration: Legitimacy and the Administrative State*. SAGE Publications, Inc. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452229294

Van Norren, D. E. (2023). The ethics of artificial intelligence, UNESCO and the African Ubuntu perspective. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*, *21*(1), 112–128. https://doi.org/10.1108/JICES-04-2022-0037

Xia, L., Baghaie, S., & Mohammad Sajadi, S. (2024). The digital economy: Challenges and opportunities in the new era of technology and electronic communications. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, *15*(2), 102411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2023.102411