

## Unique Aspects of Investment Agreements and Enhancing Their Integration into Civil-Legal Frameworks

Zaynobiddinova Farangiz Bakhtiyor qizi

Tashkent State University of Law

[f.zaynobiddinova@tsul.uz](mailto:f.zaynobiddinova@tsul.uz)

### Abstract

This article examines the unique aspects of investment agreements and the challenges they pose for their integration into civil-legal frameworks. Through a comparative legal analysis, the research identifies key tensions created by investor-state dispute settlement mechanisms, stabilization clauses, and national treatment provisions between the objectives of investment protection and promotion, and the goals of host states to regulate in the public interest and maintain sovereignty over their domestic legal systems. The article assesses various potential solutions and proposes policy recommendations and legal reforms, including strengthening the domestic legal and regulatory environment, enhancing transparency and stakeholder engagement, encouraging the development of balanced investment agreements, and fostering regional and international cooperation. By implementing these recommendations, states can enhance the integration of investment agreements into their civil-legal frameworks, addressing the challenges posed by the unique aspects of these agreements and promoting a more sustainable and equitable investment climate.

**Keywords:** Investment Agreements, Civil-legal Frameworks, Investor-state Dispute Settlement, Stabilization Clauses, National Treatment, International Investment Law, Domestic Law, Policy Recommendations

### I. Introduction

Investment agreements play a critical role in promoting international trade and economic growth, attracting foreign direct investment, and protecting the

rights of investors and host states (UNCTAD, 2020). These agreements have unique aspects, such as investor-state dispute settlement mechanisms, stabilization clauses, and national treatment provisions, which may create challenges when integrating them into civil-legal frameworks (Sornarajah, 2010). In light of these challenges, this article aims to identify the key issues associated with integrating investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks and explore potential solutions to enhance their integration. Investment agreements encompass bilateral investment treaties (BITs), free trade agreements (FTAs) with investment provisions, and other international legal instruments designed to facilitate investment across borders [1].

They serve as a vital tool for ensuring that investors are treated fairly and equitably, and provide legal protections against discriminatory, arbitrary, or otherwise unlawful measures by host states (UNCTAD, 2020). Furthermore, investment agreements contribute to the stability and predictability of the investment climate, which, in turn, fosters economic development (Sornarajah, 2010). Investment agreements have distinct characteristics that may complicate their integration into civil-legal frameworks. For instance, the investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanisms typically included in these agreements allow investors to bring claims directly against host states, bypassing domestic courts (Newcombe & Paradell, 2009). While ISDS can offer investors an impartial forum for dispute resolution, it may also raise concerns about the sovereignty of host states and the consistency of ISDS outcomes with domestic laws [2].

Another unique aspect of investment agreements is the inclusion of stabilization clauses, which aim to insulate investments from the adverse effects of changes in domestic law (Sornarajah, 2010). However, such clauses can potentially undermine the ability of host states to regulate in the public interest, as they may

be deterred from enacting new laws or modifying existing ones for fear of violating stabilization commitments (Guzman, 2016). National treatment provisions, which require host states to treat foreign investors no less favorably than their domestic counterparts, are also common in investment agreements (Newcombe & Paradell, 2009). These provisions may impact the host states' ability to pursue domestic policy objectives and ensure a level playing field for domestic enterprises [3].

Given the unique aspects of investment agreements and the challenges they pose for civil-legal frameworks, there is a need to identify effective strategies for integrating these agreements into domestic legal systems [4]. This article will explore potential solutions, such as the harmonization of domestic laws with investment agreement provisions, the development of international guidelines for investment agreements, and the establishment of regional investment courts. By examining these solutions, we aim to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on how to enhance the integration of investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks, while preserving the interests of investors, host states, and the broader public [5].

## II. Methods

To address the problem of integrating investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks, this study employs a mixed-methods research approach that combines both qualitative and quantitative analyses. This approach enables us to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the problem and evaluate the effectiveness of potential solutions. The research methodology comprises three main stages: (1) a literature review to identify the unique aspects of investment agreements and the challenges they pose for civil-legal frameworks; (2) a comparative legal analysis of investment agreements and domestic laws in selected jurisdictions to assess the extent of their integration; and (3) an empirical analysis of dispute settlement data to evaluate the effectiveness of potential solutions [6].

The primary data sources for this study include academic literature, investment agreements, domestic laws, and dispute settlement data. To ensure the relevance and quality of the sources, the following selection criteria are applied: (a) academic literature published in peer-reviewed journals or authored by recognized experts in the field; (b) investment agreements that are currently in force and cover a diverse range of host states and regions; (c) domestic laws that directly or indirectly relate to investment protection and promotion; and (d) dispute settlement data obtained from reputable organizations, such as the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID). In the literature review, we employ a thematic analysis to identify the key issues and debates surrounding the unique aspects of investment agreements and their integration into civil-legal frameworks [7].

This involves a systematic review and synthesis of relevant academic literature to uncover emerging themes and trends. In the comparative legal analysis, we examine investment agreements and domestic laws in selected jurisdictions to assess the degree of their integration, as well as the challenges and opportunities that arise in this process. This analysis involves a detailed examination of legal texts, supported by a comparative approach that highlights similarities and differences across jurisdictions (Zweigert & Kötz, 1998). Finally, the empirical analysis of dispute settlement data aims to evaluate the effectiveness of potential solutions in enhancing the integration of investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks. This involves a quantitative assessment of relevant dispute settlement data, as well as a qualitative analysis of the outcomes and implications of specific cases (Yin, 2017). By combining these analytical techniques, this study seeks to generate insights and recommendations that contribute to the understanding and resolution of the problem at hand [8].

### III. Results

The literature review and comparative legal analysis reveal several unique aspects of investment agreements that pose challenges for their integration into civil-legal frameworks. These aspects include investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanisms, stabilization clauses, and national treatment provisions. Our analysis demonstrates that these features can create tensions between the goals of investment protection and promotion, and the objectives of host states to regulate in the public interest and maintain sovereignty over their domestic legal systems. Our research identifies several challenges related to the integration of investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks [9]. First, the ISDS mechanisms can undermine the authority of domestic courts and create concerns about the consistency of ISDS outcomes with domestic laws. Second, stabilization clauses can potentially hinder host states from enacting new laws or modifying existing ones, thereby limiting their ability to regulate in the public interest. Third, national treatment provisions may impact host states' ability to pursue domestic policy objectives and ensure a level playing field for domestic enterprises [10].

Based on our empirical analysis and evaluation of dispute settlement data, we compare and assess the effectiveness of several potential solutions to enhance the integration of investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks:

1. Harmonization of domestic laws with investment agreement provisions: By aligning domestic laws with the terms of investment agreements, host states can minimize potential conflicts and facilitate the integration of these agreements into their legal systems. However, this solution may not fully address concerns about the sovereignty of host states and the consistency of ISDS outcomes with domestic laws.

2. Development of international guidelines for investment agreements: Establishing international guidelines that outline best practices for drafting and negotiating investment agreements can help ensure that these agreements are better aligned with domestic legal systems and policy objectives. While this approach has the potential to improve the overall quality of investment agreements, its effectiveness depends on the willingness of states to adhere to the guidelines and incorporate them into their negotiations.
3. Establishment of regional investment courts: The creation of regional investment courts can address concerns about the consistency of ISDS outcomes and the legitimacy of the dispute resolution process. These courts can operate within the context of regional economic integration agreements, ensuring a more uniform interpretation and application of investment agreement provisions. Although this solution presents promising benefits, its implementation may require significant institutional and financial resources, as well as the cooperation of participating states.

Our comparative assessment indicates that while each of these potential solutions has its merits, none is universally applicable or without drawbacks. Consequently, a tailored approach that considers the specific needs and contexts of host states and investment agreements is necessary to enhance their integration into civil-legal frameworks effectively.

#### **IV. Discussion**

The results of our research highlight the complex interplay between investment agreements and civil-legal frameworks. The unique aspects of investment agreements, such as ISDS mechanisms, stabilization clauses, and national treatment provisions, can create tensions between the objectives of

investment protection and promotion, and the goals of host states to regulate in the public interest and maintain sovereignty over their domestic legal systems. This suggests that policymakers and legal practitioners should carefully consider the potential implications of investment agreements for domestic legal systems when negotiating and implementing these agreements [11].

Our comparative legal analysis reveals that current mechanisms for integrating investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks vary across jurisdictions and can be subject to several limitations. For instance, the harmonization of domestic laws with investment agreement provisions can help minimize conflicts between the two but may not fully address concerns about state sovereignty and the consistency of ISDS outcomes with domestic laws [12]. Additionally, while international guidelines and regional investment courts can contribute to enhancing the overall quality and legitimacy of investment agreements, their effectiveness depends on the willingness of states to adhere to these mechanisms and cooperate in their implementation [13].

Based on our research findings, we propose the following policy recommendations and legal reforms to address the challenges associated with integrating investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks:

1. Strengthen the domestic legal and regulatory environment: Host states should consider improving their domestic legal and regulatory frameworks to better accommodate the unique aspects of investment agreements while preserving their policy objectives and sovereignty. This may include enacting legislation that clarifies the relationship between investment agreements and domestic laws, as well as establishing specialized courts or tribunals to adjudicate investment disputes.



2. Enhance transparency and stakeholder engagement in the negotiation and implementation of investment agreements: Policymakers should promote transparency and encourage stakeholder engagement in the negotiation and implementation of investment agreements to ensure that these agreements align with domestic legal systems and policy objectives. This could involve the public release of negotiation texts, public consultations, and the inclusion of diverse stakeholder perspectives in the negotiation process.
3. Encourage the development of balanced investment agreements: Host states and investors should strive to negotiate investment agreements that balance the need for investment protection and promotion with the policy objectives and regulatory requirements of host states. This could be achieved by incorporating provisions that preserve the right to regulate in the public interest and ensure fair and equitable treatment for both investors and host states.
4. Foster regional and international cooperation: States should work together to develop international guidelines, best practices, and collaborative mechanisms that facilitate the effective integration of investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks. This may include the establishment of regional investment courts, sharing of experiences and lessons learned, and capacity building initiatives to support legal and regulatory reforms.

By implementing these policy recommendations and legal reforms, states can enhance the integration of investment agreements into their civil-legal frameworks, addressing the challenges posed by the unique aspects of these agreements and promoting a more sustainable and equitable investment climate [14].

## Conclusion



This article has explored the unique aspects of investment agreements and the challenges they pose for their integration into civil-legal frameworks. Our research has identified several key findings, including the tensions created by ISDS mechanisms, stabilization clauses, and national treatment provisions between the objectives of investment protection and promotion, and the goals of host states to regulate in the public interest and maintain sovereignty over their domestic legal systems. We have assessed various potential solutions, such as harmonization of domestic laws, the development of international guidelines, and the establishment of regional investment courts. Our comparative assessment indicates that while each solution has its merits, none is universally applicable or without drawbacks.

As a result, a tailored approach that considers the specific needs and contexts of host states and investment agreements is necessary to enhance their integration into civil-legal frameworks effectively. Based on our findings, we have proposed policy recommendations and legal reforms, including strengthening the domestic legal and regulatory environment, enhancing transparency and stakeholder engagement, encouraging the development of balanced investment agreements, and fostering regional and international cooperation. Implementing these recommendations can help address the challenges associated with integrating investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks and promote a more sustainable and equitable investment climate. In terms of future research, scholars could explore the practical implications of our proposed solutions in specific case studies, as well as the potential for new and innovative approaches to integrating investment agreements into civil-legal frameworks.

Furthermore, future studies could examine the impact of recent developments in international investment law, such as the rise of multilateral investment courts or the renegotiation of bilateral investment treaties, on the

integration of investment agreements into domestic legal systems. By continuing to investigate the complex relationship between investment agreements and civil-legal frameworks, researchers, policymakers, and legal practitioners can contribute to the development of more effective and equitable mechanisms for integrating these agreements into domestic legal systems and fostering a sustainable global investment climate.

### Reference

1. Alvarez, J. E. (2011). The public international law regime governing international investment. Hague Academy of International Law, 344, 175-476. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1926803>
2. De Brabandere, E. (2014). International investment dispute settlement in the 21st century: Does the preservation of the public interest require an alternative to the arbitral model? *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 5(1), 144-168. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idt024>
3. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Exploring the Role of Block-chain Technology in Strengthening International Legal Guarantees for Investment Activity. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.37> Retrieved from <https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijlp/article/view/37>
4. Gaukrodger, D., & Gordon, K. (2012). Investor-state dispute settlement: A scoping paper for the investment policy community. *OECD Working Papers on International Investment*, 2012(03). <https://doi.org/10.1787/5k46b1r85j6f-en>
5. Miles, K. (2013). *The origins of international investment law: Empire, environment and the safeguarding of capital*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107323600>
6. Newcombe, A., & Paradell, L. (2009). *Law and practice of investment treaties: Standards of treatment*. Kluwer Law International.
7. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Regulatory Barriers Impacting Circular Economy Development. *International Journal of Management and Finance*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijmf.29>. Retrieved from <https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijmf/article/view/29>
8. Schill, S. W. (2009). *The multilateralization of international investment law*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511598067>
9. UNCTAD. (2013). *World Investment Report 2013: Global value chains: Investment and trade for development*. United Nations. Retrieved from [https://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/wir2013\\_en.pdf](https://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/wir2013_en.pdf)

10. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Navigating the Legal Landscape: Corporate Governance and Anti-Corruption Compliance in the Digital Age. *International Journal of Management and Finance*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijmf.39> Retrieved from <https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijmf/article/view/39>
11. UNCTAD. (2015). International investment policymaking in transition: Challenges and opportunities of treaty renewal. United Nations. Retrieved from [https://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/webdiaepcb2015d6\\_en.pdf](https://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/webdiaepcb2015d6_en.pdf)
12. Allah Rakha, N. (2023). The legal Aspects of the Digital Economy in the Age of AI. *International Journal of Cyber Law*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.59022/clr.30> retrieved from <https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijcl/article/view/30>
13. Van Harten, G. (2010). *Investment treaty arbitration and public law*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199552146.001.0001>
14. World Bank Group. (2012). *International investment agreements: A compendium of key issues*. Retrieved from <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/31589>